TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20554

	10 pg
In the Matter of:	RECEIVED - FCC
Patrick Sullivan	DEC -2 2016
(Assignor)	
and	Federal Communications Commission Bureau / Office
Lake Broadcasting, Inc.	
(Assignee)	DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL
Application for Consent to Assignment of License	Josha Fraz Gor Foniginal
of FM Translator Station W238CE, Montgomery,	
Alabama	
	MB Docket No. 14-82
	FRN 0003749041, 0006119796, 0006149843, 0017196064
	Facility ID No. 146162
	File No. BALFT-20120523ABY
DATE OF HEARING:November 17	2016 VOLUME: 3
DATE OF HEARINGNOVEMBER 17	, 2010 VOLUME3
PLACE OF HEARING:WASHINGTON	N, D.C PAGES:1-118_
NEAL R. GROS	S & CO., INC.
1323 RHODE ISLAM	

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005 TELEPHONE (202) 234-4433

Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20554

FCC 16M-16

In the Matter of

: EB Docket No. 14-82

PATRICK SULLIVAN

: FRN: 0003749041,

(Assignor)

: 0006119796,

: 0006149843,

and

: 0017196064

LAKE BROADCASTING, INC. : Facility ID No.

(Assignee)

: 146162

For Commission Consent to : File No.

the License of FM

: BALFT-2012-0523ABY

Translator Station W238CE, :

RECEIVED - FCC

Montgomery, Alabama

DEC -2 2016

Federal Communications Commission Bureau / Office

Volume III

Thursday, November 17, 2016

Courtroom A Room TW-A363

445 12th Street, SW Washington, D.C.

The above-entitled matter came on for pre-hearing conference, pursuant to notice, at 10:30 a.m.

BEFORE: THE HONORABLE RICHARD L. SIPPEL, Chief Administrative Law Judge

APPEARANCES:

On Behalf of the Federal Communications Commission:

GARY A. OSHINSKY, ESQ.
WILLIAM H. KNOWLES-KELLETT, ESQ.
Investigations and Hearings Division
Enforcement Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, S.W.
Room 4A-335
Washington, D.C. 20554
(202) 418-7167
gary.oshinsky@fcc.gov

On Behalf of Assignor Patrick Sullivan and Assignee Lake Broadcasting, Inc.:

JEROLD L. JACOBS, ESQ.
Law Offices of Jerold L. Jacobs
1629 K Street, N.W.
Suite 300
Washington, D.C. 20006
jerold.jacobs.esq@verizon.net

ALSO PRESENT:

RACHEL B. FUNK, Attorney Advisor, Office of Administrative Law Judges, Federal Communications Commission

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

2	(10:31 a.m.)
3	JUDGE SIPPEL: It's the 17th of November 2016, and
4	we're having a pre-hearing conference on the matter of
5	Sullivan as the Assignor and Lake Broadcasting being the
6	Assignee. It's a station in I mean, excuse me, let me get
7	it right here now, that been translator station W238CE out of
8	Montgomery, Alabama, is that correct?
9	MR. JACOBS: Yes.
10	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. And actually Lake Broadcasting
11	is an alternate name for Mr. Rice, is that right?
12	MR. JACOBS: Well, that's the corporate name. He
13	is the principal
14	JUDGE SIPPEL: He's the principal. And what's his
15	first name? I got Mr. Rice.
16	MR. JACOBS: Michael.
17	JUDGE SIPPEL: Mr. Michael Rice, okay. Okay, just
18	so we're all on sync here. Now, I've gotten a memo from Mr.
19	Knowles-Kellett at the Bureau, Enforcement Bureau, on what
20	they want to or what their schedule might be to discuss
21	that. We didn't get anything from you, Mr. Jacobs, is that
22	right?
23	MR. JACOBS: Right, no.
24	JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. Just wanted to know if
25	I'm missing anything. So why don't we just take appearances

1	now. For the Government?
2	MR. OSHINSKY: Gary Oshinsky.
3	JUDGE SIPPEL: Mr. Oshinsky. Good morning.
4	MR. OSHINSKY: Good morning.
5	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: William Knowles-Kellett.
6	JUDGE SIPPEL: Mr. Knowles-Kellett. You came all
7	the way from Gaithersburg?
8	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Gettysburg.
9	JUDGE SIPPEL: Oh, I get the two confused. Where
10	was the Gettysburg was where the Civil War thing was done.
11	Okay. Mr. Jacobs.
12	MR. JACOBS: Jerold L. Jacobs for Lake Broadcasting
13	and Patrick Sullivan.
14	JUDGE SIPPEL: You're representing both the assignor
15	and the assignee?
16	MR. JACOBS: Yes.
17	JUDGE SIPPEL: That's all right. I'm just being
18	just want to clear it up. Are you ready to go?
19	MR. JACOBS: Yes, sir.
20	JUDGE SIPPEL: You look like you're Okay? You're
21	sure?
22	MR. JACOBS: Yes, I'm just getting my papers
23	together.
24	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. Good morning everybody. And
25	I've got, I guess some good news and some bad news, depending

on which side of the table you're on I guess. I'm going to completely deny this effort to get additional defense evidence in with respect to the Abel test for all the reasons that have been written about. And I note that the last document you filed, Mr. Jacobs, did not address the issue of using it as rebuttal, which is what I had asked. In other words, I had already decided that it was not going to be in as direct evidence, and rebuttal was open and you never addressed that.

so I'm going to deny all efforts to use that test as evidence in this case with the exception of in the event we go to an appropriate time at trial, and I'll tell you what the appropriate time at trial is. You got second thoughts on needing a rebuttal I'll consider it, but only under two conditions. One, that the Government, Mr. Oshinsky and Knowles-Kellett, have an opportunity to cross-examine the witness. In other words, the testing witness, your expert. And at your expense or her expense, and in Washington, D.C. or at their convenience. And only if it doesn't disrupt the hearing.

Okay. So I'm giving you more than you asked -- I'm giving you more than I should have, but I always want to hold out to possibility -- I don't want to have the record besmirched by having denied you the right of rebuttal. But I'm going to need a lot of convincing in order to get that, and it's going to have to be discovery at the Bureau's

1	convenience sometime before the trial.
2	MR. OSHINSKY: Excuse me, Your Honor, you were
3	talking about deposition, not cross-exam.
4	JUDGE SIPPEL: Anything you want to do. You have
5	deposition, yes.
6	MR. OSHINSKY: So, Your Honor would envision taking
7	a break from trial and then doing a deposition, or
8	JUDGE SIPPEL: No, no, before trial.
9	MR. OSHINSKY: Oh, before trial.
10	JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes, if we get his if he's going
11	to use her as rebuttal and we're going to have the, you know,
12	the showing of witnesses before we go to hearing you'll have
13	an opportunity at any time I mean, if we have to break
14	up the trial for you to do a morning or an afternoon of a
15	deposition that's fine with me too.
16	MR. OSHINSKY: But
17	JUDGE SIPPEL: I'm not going to let you go in there
18	without being proposed.
19	MR. OSHINSKY: Okay. So Your Honor is envisioning
20	actually this would take place before the hearing?
21	JUDGE SIPPEL: Exactly.
22	MR. OSHINSKY: Okay.
23	JUDGE SIPPEL: Exactly.
24	MR. JACOBS: Your Honor, may I respond?
25	JUDGE SIPPEL: No, wait just a second now. I want

1	to be sure everything's clear. All clear on your side?
2	MR. OSHINSKY: Yes, Your Honor. I'd only note that
3	for the reasons that we stated in our motion this is a
4	particularly unreliable test, and we would need the data and
5	so forth in advance how it was conducted so that our expert
6	could look at that and we could then compose our questions for
7	deposition. So we need the raw data well in advance of the
8	deposition.
9	JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. Well, we'll have
10	here's what we'll do, you will they will have notice of who
11	your witnesses are going to be and a summary of what their
12	testimony is going to be. I'm trying to think of the dates
13	on this now. At which time I say I'm going to require Mr.
14	Jacobs to address this issue some time before trial. So you
15	have that chance. It sounds like it's your preparation's
16	going to be rather extensive in other words, or is it? Am I
17	just fooling myself?
18	MR. OSHINSKY: No, that's what we're envisioning,
19	Your Honor.
20	JUDGE SIPPEL: But this might be nothing. Get me
21	this data, it may just be nothing. It might just be
22	MR. OSHINSKY: Yes, I think that's probably
23	something we can work out with Mr. Jacobs.
24	JUDGE SIPPEL: And analyzing it is something else
25	again.

1	MR. OSHINSKY: Right. We would need our expert
2	witness to review that data and then we would be able to take
3	a deposition.
4	JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. Well, I think that and
5	the Government has to pay for that. I think you and your
6	client should pay for that.
7	MR. JACOBS: May I respond?
8	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, yes. Are we ready now?
9	MR. OSHINSKY: Yes.
10	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. I hear what you're saying, and
11	there'll be an accommodation made when I get we get to the
12	dates more specifically, but you'll get what you need.
13	MR. OSHINSKY: Thanks, Your Honor.
14	MR. JACOBS: First of all as to the question of
15	whether this is rebuttal or direct evidence, as we pointed out
16	in our exhibit the Abel test was lorded by the Government's
17	witness, Dr. Weitl. She said it was the best of the best and
18	she didn't do it because she is not
19	MR. OSHINSKY: Your Honor, I'm going to object to
20	that characterization it's completely untrue.
21	(Simultaneous speaking.)
22	MR. JACOBS: Because she said he's the best of the
23	best.
24	JUDGE SIPPEL: Wait a minute. Mr. Jacobs, you're
25	not carrying on a conversation you talk to me.

1	MR. JACOBS: I'm sorry, Your Honor.
2	JUDGE SIPPEL: Now, we have an objection. Let me
3	clarify the objection.
4	MR. OSHINSKY: Your Honor,
5	JUDGE SIPPEL: You say that he's misrepresenting
6	what the witness what your expert had testified to?
7	MR. OSHINSKY: Yes, and also the context, Your
8	Honor. Our witness testified that that is a test that she
9	used in treatment not in predicting risk.
10	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. We'll take it. And what else?
11	Is there any other characterization or qualification you want
12	to address?
13	MR. OSHINSKY: Yes. I mean, if Mr. Jacobs have some
14	notation in the transcript that differs with my memory, but
15	I do not recall any of that. That's not the way she
16	characterized it.
17	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. Mr. Knowles-Kellett.
18	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: I don't recall that
19	characterization.
20	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. All right. Well, if push
21	comes to shove I'm going to have to look at the deposition.
22	MR. JACOBS: Page 25
23	MR. SIPPEL: I don't have it.
24	MR. JACOBS: of the deposition transcript.
25	JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes.

1	MR. JACOBS: Dr. Weitl says
2	JUDGE SIPPEL: You're reading this now, right?
3	MR. JACOBS: Right.
4	JUDGE SIPPEL: He's reading it from the transcript.
5	MR. JACOBS: Right. In the best, best scenario I
6	would have also had a measure of sexual interest like the Abel
7	or the PPG, the penal plethysmograph examination. But those
8	are very expensive and were never used in the field except for
9	treatment.
10	JUDGE SIPPEL: That's basically what you said, isn't
11	that correct, sir?
12	MR. OSHINSKY: Yes, Your Honor. Yes.
13	JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. So,
14	MR. JACOBS: So she having said that, we ended up
15	our psychologist are qualified to give that test, and it
16	was administered to Mr. Rice. So it was it was filling a
17	gap, as we see it, a gap in the evidence not rebutting
18	anything that anyone said. This is new ground, a new test.
19	And it appears that the bureau has a misconception about what
20	this test is all about, and I would like to read to you from
21	Dr. Ann Duncan. The Abel
22	JUDGE SIPPEL: This is That's the name of your
23	expert, is that correct?
24	MR. JACOBS: Ann Duncan is our expert.
25	JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes, that's what I'm saying, right.

1	Thank you.
2	MR. JACOBS: And it was her husband who actually
3	performed the test. And this is what she they work as a
4	team. This is what she said.
5	MR. OSHINSKY: Can I interrupt for a second?
6	JUDGE SIPPEL: I already I've seen that document.
7	MR. JACOBS: No, no, you haven't seen this.
8	JUDGE SIPPEL: What is it?
9	MR. OSHINSKY: Yes, we haven't seen it either, Your
10	Honor. And I don't know what purpose Mr. Jacobs is using to
11	read this into the record, but
12	JUDGE SIPPEL: I don't either.
13	MR. JACOBS: Well, I'm trying to explain that
14	there's no need for anyone to be deposed. If you hear me out
15	you'll see
16	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well you're going to have to raise
17	that at the time. If you disclose on my schedule if you're
18	going to disclose that you're going to use her as rebuttal or
19	this Abel testing business, then I got to set up the then
20	it will automatically trigger the discovery procedures that
21	I've outlined, just outlined.
22	There's not going to be any debate about whether
23	or not you're going to in what context you're going to use
24	it. I'm just telling you the context in which I'm going to

receive it. Because you've had every -- well, for a number

of reasons. But just filling a gap that's easily done on rebuttal, isn't that right? Depends -- I mean, maybe I shouldn't be asking you about trying your case for you, but, I mean, to me it doesn't make any difference one way or the But you're not going to get it in under -- I'm not going to receive it or look at it or consider it as direct It's only going to be for rebuttal and evidence in this case. used as assessing the truth and that's going to be So that's it, if completeness and accuracy of their experts. I allow it. I'm not -- as I said I'm not there yet.

I just don't want to close that door until I'm fully satisfied that it should be closed. In other words, I don't want a remand because I've denied you some right. Which the way things are going these days, I don't know, you might have fewer rights than more rights but that's something else again.

All right. So that's my position. And there's no -- there's really no further discussion of it. I just -- I'm going to have -- I'm going to issue an order and it will tell you your time frame. And as we get closer it'll get more exact. Because I've got to see your trial briefs. I've got to see your list of -- the final list of witnesses. I've got to see exactly where this is coming in, at what time under what circumstances. So that's going to be the test at the time that you do this exchange cases basically. And we'll

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	give the Bureau any time if it turns out that they need this
2	additional discovery. We're going to make time for it between
3	the time of the exchange and the time of the hearing. It's
4	going to be done. I mean, it's the only way to be fair to any
5	to the Bureau.
6	Okay. So that's it. Now is there any more I
7	know the Bureau has represented that they you don't have
8	any further discovery to make. So and you've acknowledged
9	yes.
10	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Yes.
11	JUDGE SIPPEL: What about yourself, Mr. Jacobs?
12	MR. JACOBS: We've asked the Bureau for some
13	evidence pertaining to the alleged altercations of Tammy
14	Gremminger as an expert witness.
15	JUDGE SIPPEL: Now she's the one that's in the
16	Bureau of Prisons or something?
17	MR. JACOBS: A parole officer.
18	JUDGE SIPPEL: Parole officer. And you want to know
19	what her qualifications are as an expert.
20	MR. JACOBS: Right.
21	JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. Let's hear from the
22	Bureau on that.
23	MR. OSHINSKY: Well, Your Honor, Mr. Jacobs didn't
24	file anything with the Court, so we
25	JUDGE SIPPEL: But aside from that.

1	MR. OSHINSKY: Yes, I understand that. And he
2	so we responded to an email from him and as soon as we saw his
3	email we did contact Ms. Gremminger. And she indicated she
4	had been out of town for a while, but that she would be able
5	to get the documents she expected by about a week after
6	Thanksgiving.
7	JUDGE SIPPEL: When did you get that email?
8	MR. OSHINSKY: Yesterday.
9	JUDGE SIPPEL: From
10	(Simultaneous speaking.)
11	MR. OSHINSKY: No, no, from Mr. Jacobs. I think Mr.
12	Jacobs may have emailed on Monday, I think, or Tuesday.
13	MR. JACOBS: Well the original email was sent on
14	October 5. There was no response. I waited a very decent
15	interval, and then yesterday I sent a further email saying
16	what are you going to do in terms of my previous memo. And
17	then Mr. Oshinsky responded as suggested. The
18	JUDGE SIPPEL: Go ahead.
19	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: At the time of his first email
20	he asked Ms. Gremminger informally at her deposition to
21	provide these documents. She agreed to. At the time of his
22	prior email, I don't know if it was October 5th or not, but
23	we immediately forwarded it to her. And Your Honor should
24	understand she's local law enforcement. She's volunteering

to help us in this case. So we have little or no control over

1	her. We immediately asked her again after we received the
2	email this week, and she said I'll have a hard time getting
3	it next week, but the following week I should have it.
4	JUDGE SIPPEL: All right.
5	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: So, I think that's the best
6	the Bureau can do at this point.
7	JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. So you're not going to
8	be denied the evidence. Whatever it is that you're looking
9	for you're going to get it. Okay?
10	MR. JACOBS: Well the way things stand right now,
11	Ms. Gremminger is a self-anointed expert.
12	JUDGE SIPPEL: She says she hasn't That question
13	has not been ruled on. It's preference, it has not been ruled
14	on.
15	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Well, we're asking for
16	evidence of her
17	JUDGE SIPPEL: That's fine.
18	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: expert status.
19	JUDGE SIPPEL: I agree with that. And it's going
20	to come to you very shortly, I'm assuming. I'm assuming, or
21	else I have to step into it.
22	MR. OSHINSKY: That's our expectation, Your Honor.
23	JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. When they contact you
24	next time tell them that I'm very anxious to see it. If that
25	helps I'm out on parole so I'm not looking (Laughter.)

1	All right. So that and then we'll just take it one step
2	at a time. You're going to get the You're going to get her
3	documentation whatever it's going to show. It's like a CV or
4	something like that? Is that the idea?
5	MR. JACOBS: She said that she had certain
6	certificates, having taken certain courses.
7	MR. OSHINSKY: Okay.
8	JUDGE SIPPEL: And I'm going to ask the Bureau this
9	now, but they don't have to answer right now, are you going
10	to tender her as an expert?
11	MR. OSHINSKY: Yes, Your Honor, we're expecting to.
12	She's been working in this area for 30 years.
13	JUDGE SIPPEL: Would that be a lay expert or a
14	expert expert?
15	MR. OSHINSKY: It's a professional expert. She does
16	this for a living.
17	JUDGE SIPPEL: She's testified before?
18	MR. OSHINSKY: Yes.
19	JUDGE SIPPEL: She has?
20	MR. OSHINSKY: Yes.
21	JUDGE SIPPEL: As an expert.
22	MR. OSHINSKY: Yes.
23	JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. Well, you want to give
24	counsel a list of those cases.

MR. OSHINSKY: That's one of the things we're trying

to get.
JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. All right. Well let me know
when let's see. Let's get a calendar out here. Let's see.
Today is the 17th. Let me know by Tuesday.
MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: She said it's a holiday next
week. She couldn't do it until the week after.
JUDGE SIPPEL: So she's after Thanksgiving.
MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: The week after Thanksgiving,
yes. Next week's Thanksgiving.
JUDGE SIPPEL: And that, I understand that. And
Thanksgiving generally runs through the weekend. Not
officially perhaps, but people don't have enough time to
digest their food on one day. That's on a Thursday the 24th,
25th is a Friday, so how about by the 28th?
MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Can we have until the 30th,
Your Honor?
JUDGE SIPPEL: You can. Yes.
MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Okay.
JUDGE SIPPEL: I'm exhausting my patience, but yes,
you can have the two days.
MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Thank you.
JUDGE SIPPEL: That's okay I'm going to be out of
town anyway. So on the 30th you're going to receive,
hopefully, you're going to now, this is not for certain,

of course, but I'm going to be expecting you to let me know

1	and to let Mr. Jacobs know all these questions that he has
2	about her. In other words, her certificates of this that or
3	the other thing, and the cases that she's testified to in
4	rather, in. And I'm expecting that the Bureau will verify
5	that with her before they send it over to Mr. Jacobs, because
6	I don't want him going down a rabbit hole. Okay? Good
7	enough?
8	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Yes.
9	JUDGE SIPPEL: So that's the 30th. All right.
LO	That's good enough. By close of business on the 30th. Fair
L1	enough Mr. Jacobs?
L2	MR. JACOBS: Yes, sir.
13	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. You have to always make
14	allowances for these holidays. All right. So then I want to
15	know the next question is let's set a hearing date. And
16	I'm looking for the last week in February.
17	MR. OSHINSKY: Your Honor, unfortunately I am going
18	to be out of town 22nd to the 26th.
19	JUDGE SIPPEL: Let me see if of next year,
20	February?
21	MR. OSHINSKY: Of February, yes.
22	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. Well, okay. So let's back up
23	a little bit then. Okay. The 20th is a holiday, isn't that
24	correct? President's Day?
25	MR. OSHINSKY: I don't know.

1	MR. JACOBS: Yes, sir.
2	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. So and you're going to be
3	gone from what dates to what dates?
4	MR. OSHINSKY: The 22nd to the 26th.
5	JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. Well, that kills that.
6	All right. Well, we can start it on the 6th of February
7	(Cell phone ringing.)
8	MR. OSHINSKY: Oh, no.
9	JUDGE SIPPEL: Tell everybody to turn it off.
10	MR. OSHINSKY: I'm sorry. I apologize.
11	JUDGE SIPPEL: Now, can we do it the 6th?
12	(No audible response.)
13	JUDGE SIPPEL: Can we do it the 6th? Oh, I'm sorry,
14	you're consulting.
15	MR. OSHINSKY: Your Honor, would it be possible to
16	make it beginning of March rather than beginning of February?
17	JUDGE SIPPEL: I'll do that. I'll do that. That
18	mean you're going to do it starting the, well, the 4th,
19	Tuesday the 4th?
20	MR. JACOBS: March 4 is a Saturday.
21	MR. OSHINSKY: Oh, I'm sorry, I'm into April.
22	MR. JACOBS: Tuesday the 7th?
23	JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes, March 7th. That's a very
24	interesting date. Do you know that's the date that the U.S.
25	invaded Germany over the Ludendorff Bridge at Remagen. How

1	about that. I got a very interesting calendar here.
2	(Laughter.) Okay. Is that okay, the 7th?
3	MR. OSHINSKY: March 7th.
4	JUDGE SIPPEL: Exactly. And how many days you think
5	you're going to need?
6	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Your Honor, unfortunately I
7	hate to chime in here, but I need to I'm actually leaving
8	the country on the 14th, so
9	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. So you have to finish up by
10	the 13th?
11	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: I guess that's right.
12	JUDGE SIPPEL: I mean, that's preferably it would
13	be the 10th. Well, I usually start these on a Tuesday, but
14	we can start on a Monday. You think you can do it all in 5
15	days?
16	MR. JACOBS: Less.
17	JUDGE SIPPEL: Oh.
18	MR. JACOBS: We used only 2 days to depose the four
19	witnesses, who will be the same witnesses
20	MR. OSHINSKY: That's right.
21	MR. JACOBS: that will be at hearing. I Should
22	think we can do it in 2 days, or 3 at most.
23	JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. How does that work for
24	you Mr. Oshinsky:
25	MR. OSHINSKY: That would be fine, I mean, I expect

1	Mr. Jacobs is right.
2	JUDGE SIPPEL: So you think it's going to be a total
3	of 2 days, or 2 days just for you?
4	MR. JACOBS: Total.
5	JUDGE SIPPEL: Total two? Two or 3 days?
6	MR. JACOBS: I could envision it going to the third
7	day. I'd be surprised
8	JUDGE SIPPEL: All right, 2 to 3 days. Two to 3
9	days?
10	MR. JACOBS: Exactly.
11	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. Well, we'll start on the 6th
12	we'll start on the 6th at 10:00 and we'll finish up before
13	the 10th, which is the end of that the business day, the
14	last business day in that week. That's good to hear. And we
15	will get an order out with all of the, you know, days to come
16	in with the trial brief and exchange I'm assuming you're
17	going to exchange witness statements, is that right? What
18	they're going to testify to ahead of time? Is that right?
19	MR. JACOBS: Yes.
20	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. And as I said, we'll give you
21	one of those, you know, the conventional order, a pre-trial
22	order, within a couple of days so you have something to read
23	on Thanksgiving. Is that okay?
24	MR. OSHINSKY: Yes. I'm good, Your Honor.
25	JUDGE SIPPEL: Mr. Jacobs?

1	MR. JACOBS: Yes, sir.
2	JUDGE SIPPEL: You're satisfied with this?
3	MR. JACOBS: Yes.
4	JUDGE SIPPEL: No you're not. I know you're not.
5	You are but you're not. Okay. The schedule is okay though.
6	MR. JACOBS: The schedule is okay.
7	JUDGE SIPPEL: I don't want to put words in your
8	mouth. Okay. Then we are in recess until Monday, March 6th.
9	Thank you very much.
10	(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went off the
11	record at 10:54 a.m.)
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: Patrick Sullivan and

Lake Broadcasting, Inc.

Before: FCC

Date: 11-17-16

Place: Washington, DC

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Court Reporter

mac Nous 9

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER, TRANSCRIBER, AND PROOFREADER

Patrick Sullivan and Lake Broadcasting, Inc.
Name of Hearing
MB Docket No. 14-82
Docket No. (if applicable)
445 12 th STREET, S.W., WASHINGTON, D.C.
Place of Hearing
November 17, 2016
Date of Hearing
We, the undersigned, do hereby certify that the foregoing pages, numbers 1 through 82, inclusive, are the true, accurate and complete transcript prepared from the reporting by Toby Walter(Reporter's Name) in attendance at the above identified hearing, in accordance with applicable provisions of the current Federal Communications Commission's professional verbatim reporting and transcription statement of Work and have verified the accuracy of the accuracy of the transcript by (1) comparing the typewritten transcript against the reporting or recording accomplished at the hearings and (2) comparing the final proofed typewritten transcript against the reporting or recording accomplished at the hearing or conference. November 28, 2016 Toby Walter Legible Name and Signature of Reporter
Name of Company:Neal Gross Co
November 28, 2016 Patricia Chaney
Date Legible Name and Signature of Transcriber Name of Company:Neal Gross Co
November 28, 2016 Ayanna Reese
Date Legible Name and Signature of Proofreader Name of Company:Neal Gross Co